

# **TEXAS**

Vol. 17 Issue 48 December 11, 2017

An **ALM** Publication

## FEATURED VERDICT

### **Medical Malpractice**

Anesthesiologist said infection, not extubation, caused death

### **Defense**

Maxwell v. The Good Shepherd

Gregg County District Court, 188th

**Plaintiff Counsel** David Benford and Edwin P. Krieger, Wormington & Bollinger, Dallas

**Defense Counsel** G. Michael Stewart and Robert Dry, Stewart Wiegand & Owens, P.C., Dallas; Kay E. Ellington, P.C., Dallas; C. Victor Haley, Fairchild, Price, Haley & Smith, Nacogdoches; Chad C. Rook, Flowers Davis LLP, Tyler

Full report on page 11

### **CASES of NOTE**

Motor Vehicle – Rear-ender – Multiple Impact – Multiple Vehicle Galveston County  Driver didn't secure load to the back of his pickup truck
Motor Vehicle – Rear-ender – Multiple Impact – Truck Collin County  DTI brain scan is unreliable, neuroradiology expert testified
Motor Vehicle – Lane Change – Rear-ender – Truck Collin County Plaintiff punched driver in the face after turnpike collision
Medical Malpractice – Failure to Monitor – Wrongful Death Dallas County Patient in psychiatric hospital hanged herself in her room
Worker/Workplace Negligence – Fall from Height – Slips, Trips & Falls Dallas County Scrap metal seller's hand crushed at recycling center
Motor Vehicle – Rear-ender – Parking Lot – Multiple Vehicle Smith County  Defense disputed causation in rear-ender exiting gas station
Motor Vehicle – Red Light – Broadside – Seat Belt – Gross Negligence Titus County Emergency craniotomy led to five months of rehabilitation
Motor Vehicle – Rear-ender – Multiple Vehicle Travis County  Defense pointed to medical records a year before crash
Motor Vehicle – Passenger – Lane Change – Sideswipe – Multiple Vehicle Federal  Truck driver said plaintiff's driver came into his lane



How Strong Is Your Case?

Search. Evaluate. Decide.

www.verdictsearch.com

not reach a conclusion on what future treatment Williams would need — there could be no award of future medical expenses, the defense contended.

The orthopedic surgeon testified that, if Williams had injections and the site was still causing pain, it may be that the bulges were not the cause of his pain.

Robledo's counsel further argued that the impact was minor.

**RESULT** The jury found that Robledo was negligent and her negligence was a factual cause of harm to Williams, but Williams was determined to receive zero damages.

**DEMAND OFFER** 

\$30,000 \$5,000

INSURER(S)

United Automobile Insurance Co.

TRIAL DETAILS Trial Length: 2 days

Trial Deliberations: 20 minutes

Jury Vote: 6-0

**PLAINTIFF** 

EXPERT(S)

Robert S. Marks, M.D., pain management,

Austin, TX (treating doctor)

Manish Patel, M.D., orthopedic surgery,

Austin, TX (treating doctor)

**DEFENSE** 

**EXPERT(S)** 

None reported

**EDITOR'S NOTE** This report is based on information that was provided by plaintiff's and defense counsel.

-Aaron Jenkins

### **FEDERAL**

#### MOTOR VEHICLE

Passenger — Lane Change — Sideswipe — Multiple Vehicle

## Truck driver said plaintiff's driver came into his lane

VERDICT	Defense
CASE	Oscar M. Gonzalez v. Inman Trucking Inc. and James Perry Lassiter, No. 3:16-cv-00006-PRM
COURT	United States District Court, Western District, El Paso, TX
JUDGE	Philip R. Martinez
DATE	9/22/2017

**PLAINTIFF** 

Enrique Moreno, Law Offices of Enrique ATTORNEY(S)

Moreno, El Paso, TX

Mark D. Pierce, Pierce Sauer, El Paso, TX

**DEFENSE** 

M. Mitchell Moss (lead), ScottHulse, P.C., ATTORNEY(S)

El Paso, TX

Priscilla Marquez Castillo, ScottHulse, P.C.,

El Paso, TX

FACTS & ALLEGATIONS On March 8, 2015, plaintiff Oscar M. Gonzalez, 42, a schoolteacher, was a passenger in a Mini Cooper on Interstate 10 near mile marker 21 in El Paso, and there were five lanes in that direction. It was about 1:30 a.m. James Perry Lassiter was driving an 18-wheeler in the course and scope of his employment with Inman Trucking Inc. Gonzalez claimed that Lassiter came into the plaintiff's lane and they collided. Gonzalez claimed a head injury.

Gonzalez sued Lassiter and Inman for negligently failing to maintain a single lane, changing lanes unsafely and failing to keep a proper lookout. Lassiter was dismissed at the start

Gonzalez claimed that the Mini was in the second lane from the right and the truck was in the third lane from the right. He claimed that the front of the truck clipped the Mini, causing the car to spin around the front of the truck and then down the left side of the truck.

The plaintiff's accident reconstruction expert agreed that the accident happened in that manner, based partly on the damage to the vehicles.

The defense designated the Mini's driver as a responsible third party for negligently failing to stay in his lane, changing lanes unsafely and failing to keep a proper lookout.

Lassiter maintained that the Mini was in the far left lane and that he was in the next lane, just to the right of the car. He said the Mini moved to the right, clipped the front of the truck and spun out, striking the truck down its left side.

The investigating officer's report and testimony agreed with the defense that the Mini was in the far left lane and that the truck was in the next lane just to the right.

The investigating officer's report didn't determine who came into whose lane.

Gonzalez called an eyewitness, who was driving behind the parties. However, the eyewitness agreed with the defense that the Mini was in the far left lane and that the truck was in the second lane from the left. The witness did say that the truck came into the far left lane, but he could not say when or where the initial impact occurred.

INJURIES/DAMAGES brain damage; brain, internal bleeding; cognition, impairment; craniotomy; memory, impairment; scar and/or disfigurement; subdural hematoma; traumatic brain injury

Gonzalez claimed that the accident caused a subdural hematoma. He refused treatment at the scene. Two days later, he went to his general practitioner and complained of neck pain and headaches. Head and neck CT scans were unremarkable.

In May, he began experiencing left arm numbness. An MRI later showed a large subdural hematoma. He underwent a right frontal craniotomy, and video of the surgery came into evidence.

After the surgery, he recovered physically, but said he continued to experience mood changes and memory impairment and would sometimes mix up words. He was left with a large scar along his hairline, but it was mostly hidden by his hair.

He sought about \$50,000 for past medical bills. He also claimed past and future disfigurement, physical pain and mental anguish and physical impairment. Plaintiff's counsel asked for a total of about \$1.7 million.

The defense denied that the accident caused the subdural hematoma.

The defense expert, a neurologist, opined that it is unheard-of for someone to sustain a subdural hematoma and not exhibit symptoms for any significant length of time.

**RESULT** The jury found no negligence on Inman or on Gonzalez's driver.

INSURER(S) American International Group Inc. for both

defendants

TRIAL DETAILS Trial Length: 4 days

Jury Vote: 7-0

**PLAINTIFF** 

**EXPERT(S)** Rene Lujan, P.E., accident reconstruction,

El Paso, TX

**DEFENSE** 

**EXPERT(S)** Craig M. Powell, M.D., Ph.D., neurology,

Dallas, TX

**EDITOR'S NOTE** This report is based on information that was provided by defense counsel. Plaintiff's counsel did not respond to the reporter's phone calls.

-John Schneider

## **SEND US YOUR CASES!**

Submit your cases for publication in the **VerdictSearch** Newsletters

Call 1-800-832-1900
Email verdicts@verdictsearch.com
or go to www.VerdictSearch.com/submit

### **Increase Your Referrals!**

Has your firm recently received a jury verdict, trial court decision, settlement or arbitration award?

If so, we want to publish it!
All submissions will appear
on **VerdictSearch.com** and be
considered for inclusion in
one of our publications.

VerdictSearch is the source of verdict & settlement news and research for many ALM periodicals, including:

the National Law Journal, New York Law Journal, The (San Francisco) Recorder and Texas Lawyer.



**To submit a case, call** 212-457-9576,

email verdicts@VerdictSearch.com or
log on to www.VerdictSearch.com/submit

\*\*\*In addition to valuable, Free Publicity, you will receive 25% off your next VerdictSearch phone research request for every published case.